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Recently Korea showed the signs of a strong economy with sophisticated communications networks (e.g., broadband network services, wireless telecommunications networks): a growing connectivity across regions through networks becomes a crucial factor in determining the performance of the Korean economy. This study develops a multi-region model that captures the role of communications networks in enhancing interregional trade in intermediate business services. A link between the adoption of communications networks and improved regional performance is explored. The paper also examines the relationship between interregional trade in business services and international trade in goods.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Over the last decade, the Internet, mobile telecommunications networks, and myriad other types of communications networks have come to play a crucial role in economic activities. In particular, it is increasingly recognized that the growing connectivity of individuals and organizations is achieved through improved communications networks and a consequent increase in the flow of business services (e.g., business software development,
accounting, data processing) across regions or cities. Success stories include the strong showing of the Korean economy in recent years, with the help of one of the most sophisticated communications infrastructure in the world.

Korea first started to build its Information and Communications Technology (ICT) infrastructure during the mid-90s, considerably later than in other OECD countries. However, Korea showed the signs of a sophisticated Internet economy by the end of 2001: according to the Ministry of Information and Communication, 24.4 million Koreans, or 56.6% of the population, were Internet users.

Korea also boasts extensive optic telecommunications and wireless telecommunications networks, which penetrate every corner of the country. Both networks are broadband services, which allow high-speed data exchange. Since manufacturing places increasing emphasis on intermediate business services (e.g., software, programming, and engineering services) that can be supplied at a distance, sophisticated communications networks play a crucial role in enhancing interregional trade in business services. Furthermore, they help the dispersal of industry in Korea: the spread of effective telecommunications networks encourages the movement of industries out of major cities. The World Bank documents that the government's investment in communications infrastructure outside Seoul and Pusan helped the dispersal of industry.

These changes suggest that an examination of regional economic development in Korea should be accompanied by a focus on the effect of communications networks.

In the existing literature on development theory, however, little attention

---

1) Business services provide critical linkages in an economy: they allow other industries to operate more efficiently. Input-output analysis reveals that the dependence of the manufacturing sector on business services increases with per capita income. See Park and Chan (1989).


3) The World Bank (2000, Ch. 6) reports that the share of other cities (i.e., other than Seoul, Pusan, and Taegu) and rural areas in national manufacturing employment rose from 26% to 42% between 1983 and 1993. See, also, Henderson et al. (2001).
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has been given to the role of communications networks and interregional services trade in the process of regional development. This study develops a model that captures that aspect, which also helps to understand the role of communications networks in the strong showing of the recent Korean economy.

I focus here on the nature of the interregional tradability of business services. In the literature, intermediate business services are usually regarded as non-tradable goods. This assumption comes from the characteristics of service transactions, which usually require that there be a double coincidence in both time and spatial proximity for the buyer and the seller. An adoption of communications networks, however, might eliminate the necessity for the buyer and the seller to be at the same location, even though the coincidence in time may still be necessary. Evidence of this includes, for example, the fact that sophisticated software engineers from outside Seoul can deliver their services to Seoul via the Internet. Even though not every service can be traded interregionally, the advancement of information and communications technologies seems to suggest that the assumption of non-tradable business services should be accompanied by a focus on interregional service trade through communications networks. The purpose of this paper is, by using a simple model, to analyze the role of communications networks through which business services are traded interregionally, and to examine the impact of these networks on regional development. This paper tries to provide a theoretical basis for the strong showing of the recent Korean economy.

The analysis of communications networks and regional development is still in its infancy. Harris (1998) was one of the first to investigate the

4) Empirical studies have found an important relationship between interregional trade in services and economic development. Gilles (1989), for example, notes that 'import substitution' in services is as important a regional-development goal as export promotion. See also Harrington et al. (1991) for a survey of empirical studies.

5) Coyle (1997, Ch.9) suggests that the use of telematics (i.e., information and communications technologies) is breaking the traditional geographic linkage between local demand and local supply of business services.
influence of communications networks on the interregional tradability of business services. He emphasized the fixed cost nature of communication costs and explored an important relationship between trade in business services and communications networks: that advanced networks facilitate the enhancement of interregional trade in intermediate business services. He termed this aspect the virtual mobility of business services. However, he focused on the effects of the introduction of networks on labor markets, such as the emergence of the skill premium. In contrast, this study focuses on the role of country-specific communications networks in interregional business services trade, and examines the impact of these networks on regional development. I model a country as a collection of regions which produce intermediate business services, and consider the impact of a network on regional performance. It will be shown that the impact of networks depends on a number of factors including the number of regions that are covered by communications infrastructure, and the cost of providing the network.

The next section presents a basic multi-region model of monopolistic competition. Section 3 deals with the effect of a network on interregional service trade. The relationship between interregional service trade and international goods trade is considered in Section 4, followed by discussion in Section 5. The final section concludes.

2. THE MODEL

Suppose that a country consists of \( m \) geographically distinct regions (or cities). In the following two sections, this country is assumed to be a closed one. Each region produces three types of goods. Two of the goods, Good \( X \) and Good \( Y \), are potentially tradable, and one -- business services such as software development, accounting, and consulting -- is not traded across regions. Each region is endowed with \( L \) units of labor, and labor is assumed to be physically immobile across regions. Throughout this section, the equations are for a representative region.

Good \( Y \) is produced competitively under constant returns using only labor.
Labor units are chosen such that their unit input coefficient is unity. The Good $X$ sector is also competitive with constant returns but uses only business services as inputs.

The production and unit cost functions for Good $X$ are respectively:\(^{5)}\)

$$X = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^\theta \right)^{1/\theta}, 0 < \theta < 1,$$

$$C = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i^{\theta/(\theta-1)} \right)^{(\theta-1)/\theta},$$

where $N$ is the number of available intermediate business services, $x_i$ and $p_i$ are the quantity and price of service $i$ respectively, and $1/(1-\theta)$ is the elasticity of substitution between every pair of services.

Intermediate business services are supplied by monopolistically competitive service firms. The central assumption is that both the production and the distribution of business services require communications activities. This emphasizes the fact that highly differentiated services require communications capable of transferring complex information.

In the initial situation service firms are assumed to be purely region-specific; a region is defined as a geographic area sufficiently small that service activities can occur via face-to-face meetings and without the communications network.\(^{7)}\) I shall call this situation a communications autarky. In this situation service firms both sell their outputs and purchase their inputs in the same regional market. Given the symmetry, the initial equilibrium will be one in which the number of services is equal across all

\(^{5)}\) See Ethier (1982).

\(^{7)}\) Following Harris (1998), I assume that face-to-face meetings are perfect substitutes for communications via the network (virtual meetings), which is a dramatic simplification. Gasper and Glaeser (1998) explored the complementary relationship between these two activities.
regions.

To produce \( x \) units of service, \( \alpha + \beta x \) units of labor are required. Given a Dixit-Stiglitz specification with constant elasticity \( 1/(1 - \theta) \), and a wage rate \( w \), each service firm sets its price as \( p/w = \beta/\theta \). Hence, the unit cost of Good \( X \), given by (2), is simplified to

\[
C(N) = N^{(\theta-1)/\theta} (\beta w / \theta).
\] (3)

This equation has the property that as input differentiation increases, unit cost decreases \( (C' < 0) \). With free entry and exit, the level of output that generates zero profits is given by \( x^A = \alpha \theta / \beta (1 - \theta) \), where \( A \) refers to the communications autarky value.

Let \( n \) be the number of business services \emph{produced} within a region. In the communications autarky case, the price of Good \( X \), \( P \), must be equal to its cost:

\[
P = C(N) = n^{(\theta-1)/\theta} (\beta / \theta)
\] (4)

Assuming that a constant fraction \( \mu \) of income is spent on Good \( X \), the communications autarky number of service firms in each region becomes \( N^A = n^A = \mu (1 - \theta)L/\alpha \) and we get:

\[
P^A = [\mu (1 - \theta)L/\alpha]^{(\theta-1)/\theta} (\beta / \theta).
\] (5)

Given that both goods are produced, income in terms of Good \( Y \) remains

\[^8\text{Note that } w = 1 \text{ holds because Good Y is produced regionally.}\
\[^9\text{In the communications autarky, the labor market equilibrium condition becomes } n^A L_X^A + L_Y^A = L, \text{ where } L_X \text{ is labor used in producing each service, } L_Y \text{ is labor used in producing Good Y. By using this condition and the equilibrium output of each service, } n^A \text{ can be obtained.}\]
Thus, \( N \) (or \( P \)) can be used as the index of welfare: an increase in the number of available services increases the welfare level of the region.

3. INTRODUCING THE COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK

Now assume the introduction of a communications network infrastructure that covers all regional markets. The introduction of the network allows any firms in the Good X sector to purchase intermediate business services from any other regional markets. Thus the country-specific communications network effectively integrates the business services sector interregionally. Note that this technological change is not costless: each service firm must pay \( \gamma \) (hereafter, the network cost) in order to be on the network. This brings the fixed costs per service firm up from \( \alpha \) to \( \alpha + \gamma \).

The country-specific communications network can be thought of as being provided by a public monopoly that employs average-cost pricing. One of the main assumptions is that there are only fixed costs in the provision of the network, which is linear in the number of regions.\(^{10}\) For tractability, I assume a simple cost function for the monopolistic provider: \( K(m) = mF \), where \( F \) represents the fixed costs of network provision for each region. Because of average-cost pricing, the network costs per service firm are simply

\[
\gamma(n) = K(m) / mn = F / n
\]

This implies that the network cost per service firm falls as the number of firms in the business services sector increases, allowing more users to share the common cost of providing the network, \( F \). The level of output that

\(^{10}\) This assumption emphasizes the public-good nature of the communications network.
generates zero profits becomes

\[ x^C = \left[ (\alpha + \gamma)\theta \right] / \left[ \beta (1 - \theta) \right], \]

where \( C \) represents the situation after connection. As the number of firms increases, the level of output per service firm decreases. This cost-sharing effect is a natural consequence of the existence of a large fixed cost for the provision of the communications network.

After the introduction of the network there is now interregional business services trade where previously there was none.\(^{11}\) Each of \( n^C \) firms in any region sells to all other \( m-1 \) markets. Regional production of business services is given by \( n^C x^C \). Subtracting this from regional consumption of all business services gives the volume of business services imports in a single region as \( n^C x^C (m-1)/m \).

Thus, in aggregate, the number of available intermediate business services in each region changes from \( N^A = n^A \) to \( N^C = mn^C \) upon adopting the network.

In the new equilibrium the price of Good X becomes\(^ {12} \)

\[ P^C = (m[\mu(1 - \theta)L - F]/\alpha)^{(\theta-1)/\theta} (\beta/\theta). \quad \text{(7)} \]

Let \( L_B \) be the amount of labor devoted to the production of business services in each region. Comparing (5) and (7), \( P^C < P^A \) (or \( N^C > N^A \) holds if\(^ {13} \)

\[ L_B^C = \mu L > mF / [(1 - \theta)(m - 1)]. \quad \text{(8)} \]

---

11) Note that there is only intraindustry trade in business services.

12) By using the labor market equilibrium condition

\[ (n^C L^C + L_F = L), n^C = [\mu(1 - \theta)L - F]/\alpha \]

can be obtained.

13) Note that the first equation is derived from the labor market equilibrium condition: fraction \( \mu \) of the total labor supply is devoted to the production of business services.
This implies that the sufficient resources have to be devoted to the business services sector to cover the fixed construction cost of networks. This relationship is summarized graphically in Figure 1. On the horizontal axis is the level of labor input for the business services sector in each region, $L_g$. On the vertical axis is the number of available business services, $N$. The two solid lines reflect the technologies of production: line $AA'$ is for the communications autarky, and steeper line $CC'$ is for the interregional service trade through the communications networks.\(^{14}\)

Condition (8) indicates that if (a) the level of the construction cost (shown by the horizontal segment $AC$) is sufficiently small and/or (b) the number of regions (shown by the slope of line $CC'$) is sufficiently large, each region's welfare will be raised by interregional service trade.\(^{15}\) For example, when the level of labor input is $L_g$, the number of available business services will be increased (and the price of Good $X$ will be decreased) relative to the autarky situation.

The productivity benefits of communications are the gains from trade that accrue from increased specialization in the provision of business services. On the other hand, if condition (8) does not hold, each region will lose from a decrease in the total number of service firms since more resources are devoted to network provision costs.

**Proposition 1** If condition (8) holds, every region will gain from interregional service trade through the communications network.

\(^{14}\) Note that the lines correspond to the following conditions.

\[
AA': N^A = n^A = \frac{(1 - \theta) L_g}{\alpha},
\]

\[
CC': N^C = mn^C = m(\{(1 - \theta) L_g\} - F) / \alpha.
\]

Segment $AC$ corresponds to the amount of labor required for the construction of the Network, $F(1 - \theta)$.

\(^{15}\) Note that these results are closely related to the ones obtained by Murphy *et al.* (1989), who examined the interdependence between investment in infrastructure and industrialization.
4. INTERREGIONAL TRADE IN BUSINESS SERVICES AND INTERNATIONAL TRADE IN GOODS

In this section, the relationship between interregional trade in business services and international trade in goods is examined. Suppose that a country opens its final goods markets and has a trade relationship, while business services are nontradables across countries.\(^\text{16}\) Also suppose that the country is a small open economy, and let the world relative price of Good \(X\) and the corresponding number of business services be \(P^*\) and \(N\) respectively:

\[
\overline{P} = C(N) = \overline{N}^{(\theta-1)/\theta} (\beta/\theta). \tag{9}
\]

Assume that there is a simple entry-exit process whereby service firms enter the business service sector if profits there are positive and slowly exit when they incur losses. Given this process, if \(P_i^s < \overline{P}(N_i > N)\) for \(i=A, C\), this country specializes in Good \(X\), whereas if \(P_i^s > \overline{P}(N_i < N)\), it specializes in Good \(Y\). In the latter case, no business services are produced in this country and the welfare level becomes lower compared to the former case. Thus, in the present model, there are multiple equilibria with underdevelopment traps: the country may get trapped in an historical pattern of inadequate specialization.

Here I would like to emphasize the role of communications networks in compensating for historical handicaps. Consider the following case:\(^\text{17}\)

\[
N^d < \overline{N} < N^c. \tag{10}
\]

\(^\text{16}\) This assumption implies that the communications networks are purely country-specific, which may be rationalized by language, cultural and legal system differences. For example, international trade in engineering consultancy will be hindered by governments that set technical standards that differ too much from the standards of others. Kikuchi (2003) explored the impact of the interconnection of country-specific networks.

\(^\text{17}\) Note that (8) is the necessary condition for this.
Condition (10) implies that, without a network, the production costs of Good X are higher than the world relative price of Good X \[ C(N^A) > \bar{P} = C(N) \]. This will cause service firms to exit the business services sector, and the production costs of Good X will increase due to the decreased number of business services available. This process continues until the economy completely specializes in Good Y, as represented in Figure 2 by downward arrows starting from point a on line AA'. In the case of specialization in Good Y, the wage rate in terms of Good X becomes:

\[
\frac{w}{P}^Y = \frac{1}{\bar{P}} = \frac{N^{(1-\theta)/\theta}}{\theta},
\]

where superscript \( Y \) represents the case of specialization in Good Y.\(^{18}\)

Here I would like to emphasize that the country might have a large number of business services (i.e., the total number of business firms \( Amn \) might be greater than \( N \)). Due to the lack of connectivity, however, this country cannot take advantage of its business services availability.

In summary, without the communications network, the opening of international trade in goods prevents a country from allocating resources to the production of Good X and business services. Alternatively, by adopting a network before the opening of international trade in goods, a country can overcome this problem.

With a network, the international price is so favorable \[ C(N^C) < \bar{P} = C(N) \] that the only equilibrium involves complete specialization in Good X. Let us consider the dynamic process in detail. Given that \( N < N^C \) holds, the opening of trade provides an opportunity for entry into each region's business services sector. Thus the total size of the country's network (mn) will expand, which makes the unit cost of Good X much lower through the increased interregional service trade (i.e., the increased degree of specialization), and the export of Good X increases. This process continues until the economy completely specializes in both

\(^{18}\) This equation can be obtained from equation (9).
Good $X$ and business services, as represented in Figure 2 by upward arrows starting from point $c$ on line $CC'$. Let $N^X$ be the number of available services when this country completely specializes in Good $X$. There will be a cumulative process in which the export of Good $X$ provides an opportunity for the enhancement of trade in business services, and enhanced *interregional* trade in services promotes *international* trade in goods. There are gains both from the increased specialization in services and from the increased trade in goods. Furthermore, there are additional gains from efficient utilization of the network: as the number of service firm increases, the monopolistic provider can spread the fixed costs of network provision over service firms.

In the case of specialization in Good $X$, the wage rate becomes:

$$\left(\frac{w}{P}\right)^X = \frac{w}{\bar{P}} = \left(\frac{N^X}{N^X + \theta / \beta}\right) \left(\frac{\theta / \beta}{\theta / \beta}\right),$$

(12)

where superscript $X$ represents the case of specialization in Good $X$.

Given that $N^X > N$ holds, this equilibrium clearly dominates one of specialization in Good $Y$ [see (11) and (12)]. This case illustrates the potential role of communications networks in correcting historical handicaps.19)

**Proposition 2** By introducing a communications network, a country may overcome historical handicaps and gain through specialization in both Good $X$ and business services.

5. DISCUSSION

19) In this study, I use the term 'historical handicaps' in the sense that there are few varieties of business services, making complete specialization in Good $Y$ a possible equilibrium. Note also that complete specialization in Good $Y$ is an extreme case of small open economy. If we introduce another factor of production such as land, we can obtain the results of incomplete specialization.
In this section I describe three directions in which the model could be extended. Firstly, let us consider the assumption about the international tradability of business services. For simplicity, I have assumed that the business services are nontradable across countries. Of course, this assumption is quite restrictive: more and more business services, which can be traded via communications networks, have become internationally tradables. Recent examples include India, which has emerged as a popular provider of a range of intermediate activities in business services. The inclusion of internationally tradable business services, however, does not change the qualitative results from the basic analysis. We can interpret the rise of internationally tradable business services as an increase in the number of regions through which business services can be traded. Under this interpretation, international tradability strengthens the gains from trade in business services. In order to analyze the relationship between the degree of international tradability of business services and the regional economic performance, this kind of extension needs further consideration.\(^{20}\)

Secondly, let us consider the assumption of symmetric regions. With size asymmetries among regions, the outcomes in a communications autarky would be different from those discussed in the previous sections. Due to the lack of service availability, some small regions might end up specializing in Good Y. Then, the introduction of a network would have a different impact on regional economic performance. Thus the model could be enriched with the inclusion of size asymmetries among regions.

Thirdly, let us consider the industrial organization of the communications industry. In order to simplify the argument, I have assumed that the network services are provided by a public monopoly which employs average-cost pricing. In reality, however, this monopoly may exhibit some monopoly power and raise the network cost for each service firm, which weakens the potential role of the communications networks in correcting historical handicaps. Therefore, it is important to realistically model the

\(^{20}\) Kikuchi (2003) explored the role of international tradability of business services.
market structure of the communications industry: there is room for further investigation.

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The spread of effective and sophisticated communications networks in Korea has raised the use of business services to a new level. This study models some of the important factors through which communications networks affect regional development. It should be emphasized that the technological conditions of the communications network infrastructure determine the degree of regional economic development. For example, given that the number of regions covered by the network is relatively large, each region will gain through interregional business services trade -- each region will gain from an increased degree of specialization in the business services sector. In other words, if there is a lack of substantial network infrastructure, the scale advantage of a large country in providing services will be eliminated: a large country may simply consist of a large number of disconnected regional economies. These results imply that successfully implementing Information and Communications Technology (ICT) and Internet transaction across regions will be a crucial factor for the better performance of the Korean economy.21)

Although these results are derived under the assumption that communications networks are purely country-specific, it appears that something similar to this will occur in a more general setting. Hopefully the present analysis provides a useful paradigm for considering how effective communications infrastructure works as a driving force for the strong showing of the Korean economy.
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